UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/730,459 | 12/08/2003 | Mark J. Levine | 930009-2010 | 2911 |
| | 7590 09/13/200 AWRENCE & HAUG | EXAMINER | | |
| | ENUE- 10TH FL. | PIZIALI, ANDREW T | | |
| NEW YORK, N | NY 10151 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1771 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/13/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/730,459 | LEVINE ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Andrew T. Piziali | 1771 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on. LED WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount or the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed, | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of e appeal. Since a | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause | | | |
| (a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further cor | nsideration and/or search (see NO | ΓE below); | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying ti | ne issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reig | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | , ,, | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,6-8,13,14,23,25-27 and 30</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,5,9-12,24,28 and | <u>d 29</u> . | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: | ered but does NOT place the applic | cation in condition for a | allowance | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | , , , , | | | | | |
| | *** | ndrew T Piziali/ mary Examiner, Art | Unit 1771 | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

For example, the proposed amendments such that the claims are drawn to a hydroentangling support fabric rather than a hydroentangling device in combination with a hydroentangling support fabric, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.